



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/721,806	11/24/2000	Richard Hans Harvey	0655/63676 3613		
75	90 05/08/2003				
RICHARD F. JAWORSKI			EXAMINER		
Cooper & Dunh 1185 Avenue of	the Americas		PANNALA, SATHYANARAYA R		
New York, NY	10036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2177	\sim	
			DATE MAILED: 05/08/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Sy.

				12				
	Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summers	09/721,80	6	HARVEY, RICHARD HA	ANS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	<u> </u>	iyan Pannala	2177					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 I	November 2	000						
<u> </u>	nis action is							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa			rosecution as to the me	rits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 November 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	? .		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2177

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - "A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

 Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made."
- 2. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Corey et al. (US Patent 5,987,446), and in view of Cørey et al. (US Patent 6,122,627) and further in view of Ciccarelli (US Patent 6,009,422).
- As per independent claims 1, 13, Corey rendered by the following:
 "receiving a service query" at Fig. 1, col. 5, lines 13-17;
 "applying principles of logic to the service query to obtain a sum of terms" at Fig. 3A, col. 7, lines 47-67;
 Corey (5,987,446) does not teach explicitly evaluating each SQL instruction.

However, Carey (6,122,627) teaches "evaluating each term as a separate SQL instruction" at Fig. 9C, col. 13, lines 6-17; Thus, it would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate evaluation of each SQL instruction. Corey and Carey are combined to relate

Art Unit: 2177

querying database by receiving user query and analyzing it. In order to query for information from databases, it is necessary to simplify complex query into multiple simple queries.

Corey and Carey did teach analogous to executing SQL instructions. However, Ciccarelli specifically teaches:

"executing each separate SQL instruction" at Fig. 1, col. 2, lines 33-44. Thus, it would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art of data processing at the time of the invention to teach the execution of SQL instructions. Corey, Carey and Ciccarelli are combined for teaching of executing SQL instructions. In order to view results of a query from databases, SQL instructions must be executed.

- 4. As per dependent claim 2, Ciccarelli teaches "expanding each term to remove NOT operators" at col. 4, line 66 to col. 5, line 7.
- 5. As per dependent claim 3, Ciccarelli teaches "a sum of terms are expanded using Boolean logic" at col. 2, lines 47-64.
- 6. As per dependent claims 4, 7, 10, 12, Bachmann teaches "the service query is an X.500 or LDAP service query" at Fig. 4A-C, col. 1, lines 51-52, col. 4, lines 22-35.
- 7. As per dependent claim 5, 8, Ciccarelli teaches "the service query is a search service query" at Fig. 1B, col. 6, lines 17-19.
- 8. As per independent claims 6, Carey rendered by the following:

 "determining a SQL instruction representative of a function" (Carey teaching is analogous to the invention) at col. 8, lines 51-56;

Art Unit: 2177

"listing the results of a subtracted SOL instruction in a first list, listing the results of a non-subtracted SQL instruction in an second list" at col. 4, line 66 to col. 5, line 7;

"not listing results which are duplicates of previously listed subtracted or non-subtracted results" at col. 4, line 66 to col. 5, line 7.

- 9. Claims 9, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Corey et al. (US Patent 5,987,446), and in view of Corey et al. (US Patent 6,122,627) and further in view of Ciccarelli (US Patent 6,009,422) and also further in view of Bachmann et al. (US Patent 6,085,188).
- 10. As per independent claims 9, 11, Corey, Carey and Ciccarelli combined teaches the following:

"means for processing a service query by applying principles of logic to the service query to obtain a sum of terms, evaluating each term as a separate SQL instruction, and executing each separate SQL instruction" (see claim 1 references for details).

Corey, Carey and Ciccarelli did not teach directory services relating to database.

However, Bachmann teaches the following:

"A directory service arrangement including a database using a plurality of tables, each table having a plurality of rows and columns, and storing arbitrary data" at Fig. 5, col. 4, line 65 to col. 5, line 9; Thus, it would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art of data processing at the time of the invention to teach usage of directory system in a database. Corey, Carey, Ciccarelli and

Art Unit: 2177

Bachmann are combined for teaching as all teaches querying database and to incorporate directory services. Directory service is needed in order to ease database administrative burdens of system administrators with data repository.

The other part of the claim limitation is the same as the claim 6, see the claim 6 for detailed references.

Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record, listed on form PTO-892, and not relied upon, if any, is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 12. If a reference indicated, as being mailed on PTO-FORM 892 has not been enclosed in this action, please contact Lisa Craney whose telephone number is (703) 305-9601 for faster service.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sathyanarayan Pannala whose telephone number is (703) 305-3390. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached on (703) 305-9790. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2177

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Sathyanarayan Pannala Examiner Art Unit 2177

srp May 4, 2003

GRETA ROBINSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER